M



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/446,769	05/05/2000		KAZUE NAKAMURA	450118-4848	450118-4848 2863	
20999	7590	10/16/2002				
		CE & HAUG	EXAMINER			
	AVENUE- 10TH FL. K, NY 10151			CHANNAVAJJALA, SRIRAMA T		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2177			
				DATE MAILED: 10/16/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

The

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/446,769	NAKAMURA, KAZUE					
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Srirama Channavajjala	2177					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datase been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee after. The appropriate extension fee under					
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant?	nths after the mailing date of the final reje	ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
D. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:	John ER	Re					
(SIII	JOHN BREENE	Srirama Channavajjala Examiner Art Unit: 2177					

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/445,769



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the issues include: 1) reading the stored data from the opened file in the second storage medium and loading the read data; 2) storing the same thereat without transferring to or storing the read data to the first storage medium.